

Agenda Item:6**Title: APPEAL DECISIONS****Author: Michael Ovenden – Head of Development Control**

APPEAL BY	LOCATION	APPLICATION NO	DESCRIPTION	APPEAL DECISION & DATE	DATE OF ORIGINAL DECISION	SUMMARY OF DECISION
Appeal A Mr & Mrs J Savage	Land at Mudwall Farm Bishops Green Barnston	UTT/0100/09/FUL	Appeal against refusal to grant planning permission for conversion of barn to dwelling	29 Sept 2009 DISMISSED	10 Mar 2009	The Inspector concluded that the scheme would be too intensive for the rural locality, unnecessarily reinforcing this unsustainable location and undermining the historic qualities of the setting. (RS)
Appeal B Mr & Mrs J Savage	Mudwall Farm Bishops Green Barnston	UTT/0101/09/LB	Appeal against refusal to grant planning permission for conversion of barn to dwelling	29 Sept 2009 DISMISSED	10 Mar 2009	
Mr & Mrs Coady	Land at 'Cranesfield' Hampit Road Arkesden	UTT/1851/08/FUL	Appeal against refusal to grant planning permission for proposed replacement dwelling	5 Oct 2009 ALLOWED	16 Feb 2009	The Inspector concluded that the building was in accordance with policy although big, of a complicated plan and would be clearly visible even in the summer from certain views. (RS)
Mr J Farn	Plot to south of Jacklyne House Church Lane White Roding	UTT/0102/09/FUL	Appeal against refusal to grant planning permission for retention of single storey residence on separate plot to the south of Jacklyne House for the personal benefit of Mr Jackie Farn (and any required carer only)	9 Oct 2009 DISMISSED	5 May 2009	The Inspector concluded that the development was inappropriate development in the greenbelt, would reduce openness and the harm would not be out weighed by 'very special circumstances'. (JG)

Mr Roy Harris	Hill House Cambridge Road Quendon	UTT/0564/09/FUL	Appeal against refusal to grant planning permission for alterations to garage approved as UTT/0243/04 /FUL store on ground floor to become additional garage, rooms provided on first floor with dormer windows, staircase installed in throughway	16 Oct 2009 ALLOWED	1 July 2009	The Inspector concluded that the building was barely visible, not out of scale with the dwelling and would at least protect the character of the Conservation area.(RP)
---------------	---	-----------------	--	------------------------	-------------	---